ILLINOIS ECONOMIC and FISCAL COMMISSION

TEACHERS' RETIREMENT INSURANCE PROGRAM 2001 UPDATE



April, 2001 703 Stratton Office Building Springfield, Illinois 62706

ILLINOIS ECONOMIC and FISCAL COMMISSION

COMMISSION CO-CHAIRS

Senator Patrick D. Welch Representative Terry R. Parke

SENATE

HOUSE

Miguel del Valle Rickey R. Hendon Chris Lauzen John W. Maitland, Jr. Steven Rauschenberger Mark H. Beaubien, Jr. Judy Erwin Frank J. Mautino Richard Myers Jeffrey M. Schoenberg

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Dan R. Long

DEPUTY DIRECTOR Trevor J. Clatfelter

UNIT MANAGER Jim Muschinske

AUTHOR OF REPORT Lisa A. Barutcu

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY Donna K. Belknap

TEACHERS' RETIREMENT INSURANCE PROGRAM (T.R.I.P.)

The Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program (TRIP) is a health insurance plan for retired Illinois teachers. It was introduced on January 1, 1996, and is administered by the Department of Central Management Services. The predecessor to the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program, the TRS Health Insurance Plan, was operated and funded by the Teachers' Retirement System. The Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program is currently administered by the state because of previous fiscal problems the program experienced.

There are currently three funding sources for TRIP: active teacher payroll contributions, contributions from the General Revenue Fund, and premiums paid by retired teachers who enroll in the program. Active teachers pay ¹/₂ percent of their salaries through payroll contributions; it is collected by TRS and remitted to the State treasury. The State contribution is a General Revenue Fund match equal to the ¹/₂ percent from active teachers, plus \$11 million for benefit enhancements implemented in FY 2001. Retired teachers who enroll in the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program pay monthly premiums. These premiums are subsidized by the State from the Teacher Health Insurance Security Fund. The amount of the subsidy is not equal for all enrollees; it varies according to an enrollee's access to care and plan enrollment.

These three funding sources do not appear to be adequate, as the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program will soon experience a fiscal crisis. While FY 2001 program cost estimates include an \$11 million increase, to pay for benefit enhancements that were implemented July 1, 2000, it is unclear if program costs are the only factor responsible for the anticipated poor fiscal condition of the program by the end of FY 2001.

From FY 1997 through FY 2000, the estimated ending balance of funds available for the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program fluctuated from \$36.8 million to \$29.9 million for FY 2000. CMS has estimated that the balance of funds available for the program at the end of FY 2001 will be approximately \$10 million. According to CMS, the program must maintain a balance to cover unexpected expenditures.

The cash flow tables on page 2 offer historical information about the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program, and also include future estimates of program funding and program costs. Program costs refer to the annual liability associated with the entire Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program-TCHP and managed care enrollment combined. The program cost estimates are, in part, based on analyses by the actuarial firm of Tillinghast-Towers Perrin, enlisted by the Commission to review the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program indemnity plan, the Teachers' Choice Health Plan. The actuaries only reviewed the more costly Teachers' Choice Health Plan because 27,962 (73% of the approximately 38,462 T.R.I.P. enrollees) members and dependents were enrolled in the indemnity plan as of June 2000.

The cashflow estimates for the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program have been updated since IEFC's November 2000 report. Recently, the Department of Central Management Services had stated that the FY 2002 end-of-year deficit for the T.R.I.P. could reach as high as \$50 million. This is significantly higher than earlier CMS projections and also higher then the Commission's November estimate. In light of this, Table 2 on the following page shows IEFC's revised estimates for FY 2001 through FY 2005.

Our estimate relies on historical data presented to us from CMS, projections from an actuarial firm, and our own assumptions about the three funding sources for the program. Our revised estimates suggest that the program will suffer larger deficits than initially predicted.

As shown in Table 2, by FY 2002, revenues under the current structure will no longer be sufficient to keep the program solvent as a deficit begins to form. By FY 2003, the deficit will grow to over \$100 million.

TABLE 1: HISTORY OF T.R.I.P. CASH FLOW									
(\$ in Millions)									
	<u>FY '97</u>	<u>FY '98</u>	<u>FY '99</u>	<u>FY '00</u>					
Beginning Balance	\$20.2	\$31.4	\$36.5	\$36.8					
Program Costs	(85.1)	(92.7)	(102.9)	(117.9)					
Member Contributions	43.9	42.8	44.8	48.8					
Active Teacher Contributions	25.1	26.5	28.2	30.3					
State Contribution	24.3	26.1	27.5	29.2					
Interest/Other	3.1	2.5	2.7	2.7					
ENDING BALANCE	\$31.4	\$36.5	\$36.8	\$29.9					

It is important to note the revisions made to Table 2 since the November update. Estimated program costs increased from \$142 million to \$150 million in FY 2001, and are predicted to increase 18% each year thereafter. The estimates for member contributions remain the same as November estimates. Both the active teacher contributions and the State contributions were revised downward each year. This combination of increased program costs and decreased funding results in greater deficits for the T.R.I.P. each year.

TABL	E 2: ESTIM	ATED T.R.	I.P. CASH F	LOW	
	(FY 200	1 through FY	(2005)		
		IEFC	IEFC	IEFC	IEFC
	FY 2001	FY 2002	<u>FY 2003</u>	<u>FY 2004</u>	<u>FY 2005</u>
Beginning Balance	\$29.9	\$6.7	\$-37.6	\$-105.3	\$-200.2
Program Costs	(150.0)	(177.0)	(208.9)	(246.5)	(290.8)
Member Contributions	52.6	55.7	59.6	64.4	70.2
Active Teacher					
Contributions	31.1	33.0	35.3	38.1	41.5
State Contributions					
GRF	42.1	44.0	46.3	49.1	52.5
Interest/Other	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ENDING BALANCE	\$6.7	\$-37.6	\$-105.3	\$-200.2	\$- 334.8

• From FY 2001 to FY 2005, State Contribution estimates include an additional \$11 million for benefit enhancements. Program cost estimates are based on FY 2001 annualized expenditures; each year thereafter is increased 18%.

The estimated ending balances for the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program reflect the maintenance of the status quo in program funding. Member contributions, active teacher contributions, and State contributions do not keep pace with increasing program costs each year. While CMS makes some attempts to control program costs, it is likely that an additional funding source or increased funding will be necessary to prevent a negative balance for the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program in the future.

TABLE 3: FY 2000 and FY 2001 T.R.I.P. MONTHLY PREMIUMS

Type of Enrollee/Plan		Not <u>Medicare Primarv</u> <i>Under 23</i>	Not <u>Medicare Primarv</u> Age 23-64	Not <u>Medicare Primarv</u> Age 65 and Over	<u>Medicare Primarv</u> ALL AGES
Benefit recipient enrolled in any TRIP MC plan	FY00 FY01	\$36.56 \$36.56	\$96.63 \$101.63	\$131.21 \$136.21	\$36.62 \$41.62
Annuitant/TCHP Benefit recipient enrolled in TCHP indemnity plan when MC is available in county of residence	FY00 FY01	\$73.11 \$73.11	\$193.27 \$203.27	\$262.41 \$272.41	\$73.24 \$83.24
Annuitant/TCHP Benefit recipient enrolled in TCHP indemnity plan when MC is not available in county of residence	FY00 FY01	\$36.56 \$36.56	\$96.63 \$101.63	\$131.21 \$136.21	\$36.62 \$41.62
Dependents/All Plans Enrolled in any plan	FY00 FY01	\$146.22 \$146.22	\$386.53 \$406.53	\$524.82 \$544.82	\$146.48 \$166.48

FY 2001 premiums for the following ANNUITANTS increased \$5 per month over FY 2000 premiums

Not Medicare Primary 23-64 Not Medicare Primary 65 + Medicare Primary All Ages

enrolled in a TRIP managed care plan <u>or</u> enrolled in the TCHP indemnity plan where managed care is unavailable

FY 2001 premiums for the following ANNUITANTS increased \$10 per month over FY 2000 premiums

Not Medicare Primary 23-64 Not Medicare Primary 65 + Medicare Primary All Ages

enrolled in a TCHP indemnity plan when MC is available

FY 2001 premiums for the following DEPENDENTS increased **\$20 per month** over FY 2000 premiums

Not Medicare Primary 23-64 Not Medicare Primary 65 + Medicare Primary All Ages

enrolled in any plan

Premiums for annuitants and dependents AGE 0-23 remained the same from FY 2000 to FY 2001

The premium increase from FY 2000 to FY 2001 was a weighted average 7.4%. According to CMS officials, if no changes occur to the current funding structure for the T.R.I.P., an increase of more than 70% will be needed in FY 2002 to keep the program adequately funded.

Background of the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program

Members of the Teachers' Retirement System are downstate, public schoolteachers. While there are both active and inactive teachers in the Teachers' Retirement System, only active teachers contribute to the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program through their paychecks. Teachers may not enroll in the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program unless they have at least eight years of service upon retirement.

Few school districts in the State of Illinois provide health insurance for their retired teachers. Enrollment in TRIP is voluntary, but it is available to all TRS members who are eligible upon retirement. In a teachers' retirement package, there is a TRIP enrollment application and a TRIP highlights brochure. Once a teacher enrolls, he or she receives a packet that includes an acknowledgement letter, a benefit handbook, and a benefit choice book. The TRIP benefit choice period that preceded FY 2001 enrollment was May 15, 2000 through June 30, 2000.

Enrollment Information

There are approximately 55,300 TRS retirees eligible for TRIP. These retirees, also known as annuitants, receive a monthly benefit or retirement annuity under Article 16 of the Illinois Pension Code. 33,614 TRS retirees were enrolled in TRIP as of August 2000. There were also 6,521 dependents enrolled in TRIP (for the FY 2001 benefit year) as of August 2000. Approximately 61% of TRS retirees are enrolled in the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program for FY 2001. The remaining 39% of TRS retirees may not have enrolled in TRIP for several reasons. Enrollment in TRIP may be cost-prohibitive; retirees may have health insurance coverage through their spouse, a current employer, or the local school district from which they retired.

TABLE 4: ENROLLMENT IN TEACHERS' RETIREMENT INSURANCE PROGRAM								
		%		%		%		
	Annuitants	Change	Dependents	Change	TOTAL	Change		
January 1996	31,699	N/A	6,242	N/A	37,941	N/A		
FY 1997	31,436	-0.83%	6,102	-2.24%	37,538	-1.06%		
FY 1998	31,293	-0.45%	6,008	-1.54%	37,301	-0.63%		
FY 1999	31,462	0.54%	5,940	-1.13%	37,402	0.27%		
FY 2000	32,217	2.40%	6,090	2.53%	38,307	2.42%		
FY 2001 (1/01)	34,492	7.06%	6,757	10.9%	41,249	7.68%		

The chart below shows historical enrollment for TRIP:

NOTE: FY 2001 enrollment figures from the Teachers' Retirement System show greater enrollment than reported in November. While this additional enrollment (1,114) would increase member contributions, the member contributions estimate remains the same from the November estimate.

Current Funding Sources for the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program

All revenue from the three funding sources is deposited into the Teachers' Health Insurance Security Fund (THIS). The three funding sources for TRIP are active teacher payroll contributions, contributions from the General Revenue Fund, and premiums paid by retired teachers who enroll in the program.

Active Teacher Payroll Contributions

For the 1999-2000 school year (FY 2000), there were 106,223 active public school teachers contributing through their paychecks. Active teachers are certified full and part-time employed classroom teachers. In FY 2000, active teacher payroll contributions (1/2 % of salary) accounted for \$30.3 million of revenue for TRIP, an increase of 7.4% over FY 1999 active teacher payroll contributions of \$28.2 million.

It is important to note that the Chicago Public School System is not covered by the Teachers' Retirement System or the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program. The Chicago Public School System has its own retirement system for its 23,576 active public school teachers, the <u>Public School Teachers Pension and Retirement Fund of Chicago</u>. The Chicago Public School System does provide retired teachers with a health insurance program. The retired teachers pay a specific premium depending on eligibility, and have the choice to enroll in an HMO or a PPO.

State Contribution

In FY 2000, the State contributed, from the General Revenue Fund, \$29.2 million to the Teachers' Health Insurance Security Fund for the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program. This represented an increase of 6.2% over FY 1999 GRF contributions of \$27.5 million. By law, the State is required to match the contributions from the active teachers' salaries each fiscal year.

Member Contributions

Members of the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program pay premiums based on their age, the type of plan in which they enroll, and their access to managed care. In FY 2000, premiums paid by retired teachers (members and dependents) totaled \$48.8 million, an increase of 8.9% over FY 1999 premiums of \$44.8 million. FY 2001 member contributions should be higher due to increased enrollment and increased FY 2001 premiums for all members and dependents above age 23.

Proposed Solutions to the Fiscal Crisis

One option that has been recommended to keep the program solvent is a combination of premium increases and contributions from local school districts or increased GRF funding.

Local School District Contributions

In future fiscal years, it may be necessary for local school districts to contribute to the Teachers' Health Insurance Security Fund. Currently, active teacher contributions represent 0.5% of their annual salaries; this amount is matched by the State from the General Revenue Fund. If local school districts were to match the contributions made by active teachers, it is estimated that \$33 million in additional revenue would be available for TRIP in FY 2002.

Expand a Current Funding Source

As mentioned earlier, there are three funding sources for TRIP: active teacher payroll contributions, contributions from the General Revenue Fund, and premiums paid by retired teachers who enroll in the program.

Member Contributions

CMS has already increased premiums for retired teachers as a short-term solution to the funding crisis. The FY 2001 increases are not substantial enough to sustain the program in future years, however, as program costs increase at a much higher rate than retiree premiums do.

Active Teacher Contributions

As the number of active teachers increases and their salaries increase, an increase to active teacher contributions may be required. If active teachers were to contribute .75% or 1% of their salaries to the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program each year, active teacher contributions could increase \$15 to \$30 million in the first fiscal year of increased active teacher contributions.

State Contributions

The State contribution from the General Revenue Fund matches the active teacher contribution. If active teachers are required to contribute a higher percentage of their salaries to the Teacher's Retirement Insurance Program, then the State would be required to match this increase. An increase to active teachers' contributions would benefit TRIP twofold.

In FY 2001, the GRF contribution to TRIP is \$11 million higher than the active teacher contribution estimate because the State agreed to benefit enhancements. While it is not clear if the \$11 million commitment from the General Revenue Fund will be a permanent expansion of T.R.I.P. funding, IEFC's projections include this \$11 million in additional GRF funding through FY 2005. CMS is unsure whether the State will continue to provide the additional \$11 million as it did during FY 2001.

Decrease Member and Dependent Benefits

Another solution to the TRIP crisis would be to decrease benefits. In light of recent demands by retirees for benefit enhancements, it is not likely that TRIP enrollees would be agreeable to benefit decreases. While it may be a necessary step to control program costs, it is a solution that would likely meet great opposition from retired teachers' organizations.

TRI	TRIP* COST ESTIMATES						
	TOTAL	% Increase					
2000	\$117,900,000						
2001	\$150,000,000	27.2%					
2002	\$177,000,000	18.0%					
2003	\$208,900,000	18.0%					
2004	\$246,500,000	18.0%					
2005	\$290,800,000	18.0%					

*Estimates of entire Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program, FY 2001 – FY 2005.

From FY 2001 to FY 2005, the program cost estimates reflect the increasing costs of benefit enhancements promised by the State in FY 2001.

Each of the cashflow scenarios below (and on the following page) reflects \$11 million in additional GRF funding from FY 2001 through FY 2005. If the State does not continue to provide an additional \$11 million for benefit enhancements past FY 2001, the deficits would be greater in each scenario from FY 2002 through FY 2005.

INCREASED MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS										
	CMS IEFC IEFC IEFC IEFC IEF									
	<u>FY 2000</u>	<u>FY 2001</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>	<u>FY 2003</u>	<u>FY 2004</u>	<u>FY 2005</u>				
Beginning Balance	\$36.8	\$29.9	\$6.7	\$-26.3	\$-71.6	-\$133.9				
Program Costs	(117.9)	(150.0)	(177.0)	(208.9)	(246.5)	(290.8)				
Member Contributions	48.8	52.6	67.0	82.0	97.0	112.0				
Active Teacher Contribution	30.3	31.1	33.0	35.3	38.1	41.5				
State Contribution (GRF)	29.2	42.1	44.0	46.3	49.1	52.5				
Interest/Other	2.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
ENDING BALANCE	\$29.9	\$6.7	\$-26.3	\$-71.6	\$-133.9	\$-218.7				

T.R.I.P. CASHFLOW SCENARIOS

.75% ACTIVE TEACHER CONTRIBUTIONS AND												
MATCHING STATE CONTRIBUTION												
	CMS IEFC IEFC IEFC IEFC IEFC											
	<u>FY 2000</u>	FY 2001	<u>FY 2002</u>	<u>FY 2003</u>	<u>FY 2004</u>	<u>FY 2005</u>						
Beginning Balance	\$36.8	\$29.9	\$6.7	\$-10.2	\$-48.5	\$-111.6						
Program Costs	(117.9)	(150.0)	(177.0)	(208.9)	(246.5)	(290.8)						
Member Contributions	48.8	52.6	55.7	59.6	64.4	70.2						
Active Teacher												
Contribution	30.3	31.1	46. 7	50.0	54.0	58.9						
State Contribution (GRF)	29.2	42.1	57.7	61.0	65.0	69 .9						
Interest/Other	2.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
ENDING BALANCE	\$29.9	\$6.7	\$-10.2	S-48.5	\$-111.6	S-203. 4						

NOTE: FY 2002 active teacher contributions would be 50% higher than FY 2001 contributions. FY 2003 to FY 2005 would increase 7%, 8%, and 9% respectively. GRF match includes an additional \$ 11 million each year from FY 2001 to FY 2005.

1/2% MATCH FROM LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS										
	CMS	IEFC	IEFC	IEFC	IEFC	IEFC				
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005				
Beginning Balance	\$36.8	\$29.9	\$6.7	\$-4.6	\$-37.0	\$-93.8				
Program Costs	(117.9)	(150.0)	(177.0)	(208.9)	(246.5)	(290.8)				
Member Contributions	48.8	52.6	55.7	59.6	64.4	70.2				
Active Teacher Contribution	30.3	31.1	33.0	35.3	38.1	41.5				
Local School Districts	0.0	0.0	33.0	35.3	38.1	41.5				
State Contribution (GRF)	29.2	42.1	44.0	46.3	49.1	52.5				
Interest/Other	2.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
ENDING BALANCE	\$29.9	\$6.7	\$-4.6	\$-37.0	\$-93.8	\$-17 8 .9				

NOTE: Local School Districts would be a new funding source for FY 2002 to FY 2005. The estimates match Active Teacher Contributions estimates.

	CMS	IEFC	IEFC	IEFC	IEFC	IEFC
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Beginning Balance	\$36.8	\$29.9	\$6.7	\$34.1	\$53.5	\$61.
Program Costs	(117.9)	(150.0)	(177.0)	(208.9)	(246.5)	(290.8
Member Contributions	48.8	52.6	67.0	82.0	97.0	112.
Active Teacher Contribution	30.3	31.1	46. 7	50.0	54.0	58 .
Local School Districts	0.0	0.0	33.0	35.3	38.1	41.
State Contribution (GRF)	29.2	42.1	57.7	61.0	65.0	69 .
Interest/Other	2.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
ENDING BALANCE	\$29.9	\$6.7	\$34.1	\$53.5	\$61.1	\$52.

Only the last scenario, which requires all funding sources to be expanded while adding a fourth funding source (local school districts) will keep the Teachers' Retirement Insurance Program solvent past FY 2001. It would also maintain a positive balance in the fund through FY 2005. It seems that a combination of increased funding sources may be necessary to avoid deficits.

BACKGROUND

The Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission, a bipartisan, joint legislative commission, provides the General Assembly with information relevant to the Illinois economy, taxes and other sources of revenue and debt obligations of the State. The Commission's specific responsibilities include:

- 1) Preparation of annual revenue estimates with periodic updates;
- 2) Analysis of the fiscal impact of revenue bills;
- 3) Preparation of "State Debt Impact Notes" on legislation which would appropriate bond funds or increase bond authorization;
- 4) Periodic assessment of capital facility plans; and
- 5) Annual estimates of the liabilities of the State's group health insurance program and approval of contract renewals promulgated by the Department of Central Management Services.

The Commission also has a mandate to report to the General Assembly ". . . on economic trends in relation to long-range planning and budgeting; and to study and make such recommendations as it deems appropriate on local and regional economic and fiscal policies and on federal fiscal policy as it may affect Illinois. . . ." This results in several reports on various economic issues throughout the year.

The Commission publishes two primary reports. The "Revenue Estimate and Economic Outlook" describes and projects economic conditions and their impact on State revenues. "The Illinois Bond Watcher" examines the State's debt position as well as other issues directly related to conditions in the financial markets. The Commission also periodically publishes special topic reports that have or could have an impact on the economic well being of Illinois.

These reports are available from:

Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission 703 Stratton Office Building Springfield, Illinois 62706 (217) 782-5320 (217) 782-3513 (FAX)

Reports can also be accessed from our Webpage:

http://www.legis.state.il.us/commission/ecfisc/ecfisc_home.html